

Humanicide

*An Integral Analysis
Of Globalisation*

Chris C Stewart

April 2004

chris @quantumhealing.com.au

*Thus we arrive at today: a project of synthesis, an integral age at the leading edge,
...just now beginning with the dawn of the new millennium.*

Ken Wilber

Abstract

The paper was developed in response to the request for an integral theory analysis of the global predicament. Attempting such an analysis poses many problems before it even starts. What is integral? What is meant by global, or globalisation? What is a global predicament? Is there a single global predicament? How can these complex topics be approached coherently to derive meanings and utility beyond the pages they are written on? No small challenge for any single paper. A creative approach is taken that affords both depth and breadth while achieving some clarity. An integral theory stance is adopted and The Fisher King myth is employed as an explanatory logic for reviewing the historical antecedents and current situation of globalisation. The conclusion is twofold. One, there are no real predicaments where most see them. Two, the real predicament is simply survival, and the quality of that survival. This leads to the outlines of a 21st Century risk profile for humanity. Humanity is, for the first time in history, poised on multiple fronts to wilfully or negligently commit Humanicide: the annihilation of our species to all but a stranded handful. The secondary risk is one of quality: humanity could be forfeiting the hard won gains of our history. Both survival and its quality involve the whole of humanity. They speak to the consequences that are integral to any choices we make, as individuals, communities, nations, and, as a species. The new global domain also requires an integral level approach to competently engage the topic of globalisation as a whole in the first place.

No snowflake in an avalanche ever feels responsible.

Voltaire

Contents

Approaching Globalisation	4
An Integral Stance.....	5
The Power of Myth.....	7
The Fisher King Myth.....	8
Act 1 – Development & Wounding.....	8
Act 2 – Wounded Existence & Temporary Relief.....	11
Act 3 – The Fool & the Healing.....	15
Envisaging Humanity's Futures.....	18
Act 4 – Alternative #1: A Positive Future History.....	18
Act 4 – Alternative #2: A Negative Future History.....	19
The Risk of Humanicide.....	19
Setting the Global Agenda.....	20
Notes.....	22
References.....	26

Approaching Globalisation

The first challenge of Globalisation: understanding it well enough to be able to approach it meaningfully.

In the entire sweep of human history few topics could rival the amount of writing and diversified debate carried out in the name of globalisation. For the foreseeable 21st Century, it's safe to say few will. Globalisation is a topic that no voice seems to have a definitive word on, no author appears to forward the most credible knowledge about, and no mind seems to be able to grasp and communicate in its entirety. The continuing debate about how to even define the word 'globalisation' is an example of the nature of the approach the topic demands. Globalisation is multi-dimensional, for each dimension there are multiple perspectives, and for each perspective multiple meanings in different contexts.¹ Globalisation requires an approach that can embrace each of these expansive subtleties of difference.

Globalisation's most common dimensions of reference include governance, economics, security, culture and the environment. More dimensions will likely join these ranks in the decades to come. It seems as if every major system of knowledge and activity in humanity has something to say about globalisation, some way of relating to it, critiquing it, adding to it. Globalisation is, at the very least, global in its reach into human affairs. Any approach to globalisation needs to address this feature, and it is fair to say that any competent consideration will also include, or at the very least need to leave room for including, as many of these as possible. This requires of globalisation thinkers, the ability to consider not only a single discipline of knowledge, or even systems of systems of activity seen within one paradigm, but rather to be 'pluralistic,' or 'aperspectival' in not unduly privileging any particular partial perspective.²

The search for simplicity on the other side of globalisation's complexity awaits human kind. Many have contributed efforts that attempt to embrace the diverse dimensions of globalisation. Few efforts are held in high regard however, across different disciplines, or in different contexts. This search for a common simplicity, for an acceptable understanding of the affairs of globalisation, is an implicit theme in most writings on globalisation. There is an inherently human desire for a map of globalisation that affords a meaningful logic to guide our thinking, decisions and actions in relationship to both global realities and potentialities. It appears any credibly comprehensive approach to globalisation needs not only to be pluralistic, or aperspectival, but also integrative. To grasp globalisation then, an approach that is 'cross-paradigmatic' or employs 'integral-aperspectivism' is required.³

To focus one dimension of globalisation, and then draw on the multitude of issues in relationships of causality and prioritisation, is the common integrative approach to date. Many of these efforts are extremely illuminating and valuable. They do however, attract the criticism that they champion one knowledge discipline's perspective over others, or they are in some manner, and usually concurrently, derisive of other valid positions. To achieve an integral-aperspectival approach to globalisation the thinker's disposition needs to be global in its altruism. It needs to embrace concern for the entirety of humanity and its relevant concerns, and some well argue for all sentient and insentient life. It would require therefore, a personal identity that holds an outlook of universal care and concern.⁴

A common theme in most writings on globalisation is an effort to define the most important predicament it presents, with its cause/s, effect/s and possible solution/s. Predicaments vying for pre-eminence include the degradation of the environment, the inequalities and dominance of

economic rationality, an international governance crisis, rising cultural hegemonies, a lack of average personal development, and new forms of security challenges among others. In light of the criteria for globalisation thinking above it needs to be asked if the discourse surrounding any of these priorities can adequately relate to, accommodate, and favourably influence the predicaments seen in the others? Is this itself the real global predicament? The implicit requirement presented by this theme is that approaches to globalisation need to address humanity's priorities. The globalisation agenda for discussion, decision-making and action needs to be set at a global level.

This paper is an attempt to address these criteria and questions through an accessible appraisal of the development of globalisation and the predicaments it presents. As Western civilisation is the most commonly identified villain and desired site of action in globalisation debates (and inherently the recipient of the least of any negative effects) a 'Western' oriented approach, and focus, will be taken. To simplify the important variables in the rise of globalisation as an issue, and to allow readers to integrate others that may be missed in this formulation, a mythic story will be employed. The Fisher King myth, from the quintessentially Western Arthurian Holy Grail stories, will act as an explanatory logic in reviewing the past millennia of Western development, situating its current relationship to perceived global predicaments, and drawing out avenues of continuing internal positive change.⁵

The core thesis of this paper is twofold: one, what are often considered key global predicaments are really of secondary concern; and two, the real predicament in the age of globalisation can be seen in a risk profile for humanity in the 21st Century. The profile identifies that the edges of Humanicide can now be seen. New potentials have emerged that could preclude any future for humanity at all.⁶

Integral theory will be used in an attempt to meet the deduced criteria, to orientate the use of myth to explain the current global situation, and to reveal the grounds of priority for Humanity's risk profile in the 21st Century. It is necessary then to review integral theory to set the scene for justifying the thesis.

An Integral Stance

The second challenge of globalisation: finding an adequate theory of approach.

The criteria of competence that globalisation requires of its commentators are daunting. There are few approaches available that could come within reach of embracing all the dimensions, perspectives and contexts involved. Integral theory, as developed most notably in modern times by Ken Wilber, is one theory that might just make it – if carefully applied.⁷

Essentially, integral theory represents a macro-historical view of humanity from an evolutionary perspective. It is grounded in extensive cross-cultural research and draws on hundreds of researchers, theorists and philosophers throughout human history, from both east and West. A skeletal approach is outlined below in Table 1. The key requirements deduced from the globalisation debate are listed, as well as the elements of an integral theory approach that address each. This constructs a de-facto 'integral stance.' References to integral theory concepts and paradigmatic principles of practise are also listed for those more versed in integral theory.⁸

Criteria	Stance	AQAL Theory Reference	Integral Principles of Practice
No one partial perspective should be unduly privileged	Aperspectival	The four <i>quadrants</i> , and eight indigenous perspectives / 4 zones	<i>Enactment</i> : taking a perspective actively co-creates what is experienced
The multitude of perspectives need to be meaningfully integrated and open to further development	Integral-aperspectival / cross-paradigmatic	Holonically defined <i>lines</i> of development - through transcendence and inclusion	<i>Unfoldment</i> : there is a natural direction of development in most dimensions of life
The entirety of humanity and its relevant concerns should be honoured	Worldcentric altruism / universal concern and care	Holonically defined <i>level</i> of development in (at least) identity agency and communion at or above worldcentric	<i>Non-exclusion</i> : all sentient life and its perspectives should be valued
Setting global level priorities – the globalisation agenda	Focus on fundamental requirements, and then significant developments	The <i>prime directive</i> : the greatest depth for the greatest span	The <i>calculus of un-comfort</i> : seeking to minimise the suffering and distortions involved in all integrations

Table 1: An Integral Stance & Criteria for Approaching Globalisation

Attempting to be explicitly 'integral' in considering globalisation means remembering to allow room for an ever expanding list of issues or dimensions, seen from a multitude of perspectives, and needing interpretation within a diversity of contexts. Adopting an integral 'stance' means explicitly acknowledging that not every perspective, concern or context will be obviously included or excluded. In reality this means that practicalities limit the approach to being at least 'actively open' to further inclusions and modifications.

The inherent difficulty is still in finding a method of approach, even within the integral stance of openness and inclusion, that can in a short paper provide a meaningful logic of summary explanation. In reviewing numerous high-profile written works on globalisation, from diverse perspectives and contexts, one commonality stands out: the value of stories.⁹ Often told as parables, many globalisation stories quickly become informative myths that serve to invite a diverse audience to contemplate the meanings they hold. These myths often provide a short but deep access into the worldview of the writer, and issues they see as most pressing from their perspective/s and context/s. The power of myth as a summarising and explanatory vehicle is then what this paper will use.

The Power of Myth

The third challenge of globalisation: choosing and justifying a method of summary explanation.

In the development of humanity one thing that stands out as being universally of value is myth. While myths if taken literally are often far from healthy or realistic, as rationality discloses, myths can when used as metaphor, be healthy.¹⁰ Across virtually all cultures, myths, stories or parables continue to be a ready tool of humanity's evolution. In order to address the criteria and challenges identified as necessary to adequately approach globalisation as an issue, a review of myth that covers its functional-meaning/interpretive, structural, and logical reasoning values is required.

For Joseph Campbell, considered the modern master of mythology, myth serves four functions: the mystical, cosmological, sociological and pedagogical.¹¹ These four functions hold the power of myth, its ability to encapsulate complex meanings, provide direction through their contemplation, and while transcending ordinary reality, confer upon it the significance that human minds, imaginations and spirit are hungry for. The four functions of meaning that myths achieve are all relevant to globalisation as a topic, depending on context and perspective.

In the field of futures studies, Sohail Inayatullah uses myths in his 'Casual Layered Analysis' technique. Describing it as 'post-structuralism as method,' Inayatullah sees myths as the most fundamental organiser of other layers of information.¹² This will certainly be relevant to a summary of globalisation.

Claude Levi-Strauss, the founder of structural anthropology, still holds some instructive insights about the power of myth.¹³ Levi-Strauss contends that myths have several structural facets that enable them to hold contradictions, be of a near universal nature, and maintain a rigorous logic relevant through time and malleable across contextual adaptations. These features highly recommend the use of myth in the context of the globalisation discussion criteria.

Vladimir and Judith Dimitrov observe that the logic of myths are generalised. This openness, or 'fuzzy logic,' allows for the inclusion of irrational understandings and psychological experience, and 'a spectrum of real life' situational details to be meaningfully integrated with the myth.¹⁴ An approach to globalisation also requires this integrative 'fuzzy logic' ability within its explanatory logic.

Myths are powerful devices that have stood the test of time. Finding the appropriate myth to tell the story of globalisation is the next challenge.¹⁵

The Fisher King Myth

The fourth challenge of globalisation: applying a valid tool with care and comprehensiveness - yet achieve succinctness.

The Fisher King myth holds many structural similarities to the issue of globalisation, both in its rise and current status. It also affords a useful summary interpretation and understanding of direction in relationship to it. The Fisher King myth has repeatedly been re-interpreted and communicated in Western civilisation through the centuries, including in the twentieth century.¹⁶ The version being re-interpreted here is from Robert Johnson's 'The Fisher King and the Handless Maiden.'¹⁷ Johnson is a noted Jungian analyst, and author of a dozen books on human psychology and mythology.

So why use the Fisher King Myth in particular? One, it is a Western story, suited to a Western dominated era and its role in globalisation. Two, it seems to accommodate the main structural dynamics of this issue.¹⁸

Johnson reasons that 'the twelfth century began so many of the issues that we struggle with today. It has been said that the winds of the twelfth century have become the whirlwinds of the twentieth. Thus we can profitably look at the road maps of Western civilisation laid down in the formative time of our modern world to gain some perspective on the maze we encounter today.'¹⁹ Indeed, this is exactly what this paper will be doing.

The format for presenting the Fisher King Myth, and relating a globalisation interpretation will be three fold: first, presenting in a short statement the structural activity of the myth culled primarily from Johnson's telling; secondly, a statement regarding the psychological disposition (variously the motivation, reason etc) of the character as applied to Western civilisation will be provided; and thirdly, a selection of writings, historical events and a general social-cultural commentary will be highlighted in recounting the globalisation interpretation of this myth.

Development & Wounding

The Fisher King Myth -- Act 1

A young prince yet to come into his power, beset with all the outer symbols of prestige, sets out in the name of love and high ideals to claim his knighthood through the experience of demonstrating it. The prince finds a camp in his kingdom, and discovers a brutish, un-titled knight returning victorious from battle in the 'holy lands.' Switching dramatically from high ideal, to youthful impulse, the prince kills the brutish knight, but is 'generatively' wounded in the process. Or, in another telling, the prince eats of a salmon fish cooking on a fire in the empty camp, and is thus wounded 'generatively' by its scalding heat. The Prince continues home, and on the way acquires the Holy Grail, yet doesn't know what its significance is.

Psychology

Our young hero is driven by the need to find his place in the world, to claim his power and the status he was brought up to believe are inherently his. He has all the expectations and the outward signs of being a knight, being one of power, but he is yet to earn it, and thus anxious to do so. In encountering the brutish Knight, or eating the salmon, the Prince denies the value of the previous level of development in growth – in others, and himself. He rejects the instinctual manhood grounded in nature and wisened experience, or the basic rules of nature in the scolding fish, because of desire and self-righteousness. For the same reasons, the Prince is also grasping beyond his level of development before he is ready. He is thus generatively wounded and his creative development is distorted. He discovers the very key to his healing, but because of his wounding, doesn't understand its value, or how to relate to it authentically so that it can help heal him.

Western Civilisation

The past millennium has seen the growth of Western civilisation into its young adult stage: the fully armed, trained and expectant prince. The West's wounding in failing to integrate its foundations (and those seen in other cultures), and its persistent grasp for global dominance that is at once noble and corrupt, idealistic and frighteningly effective, a bold grasp for a unitary vision that's been incredibly rewarding yet terrifyingly destructive, is the tale of a generatively wounded youth. Despite the fact that the successes include holding the keys to further health and fulfilment, the West doesn't seem to recognise how to use them.

The early 'teenage' years of the West, the renaissance, are often glorified, with the teachers forgotten, and the weaknesses rarely paid attention. In this age, Bronowski and Mazlish observe in 'The Western Intellectual Tradition,' Western society was 'suddenly disclosed' to a 'store of wealth and power in the world which they were too stunned and intoxicated to use well.'²⁰ Arnold Pacey, in 'Technology in World Civilization,' highlights how the West was the inheritor of early technological advancements by others. These were gained through a 'cultural dialogue' with the Chinese, Indian, and Islamic civilizations, and even from perceived primitive cultures, such as African farmers and Arctic Inuit hunters.²¹

Building on these sources of development, and the more commonly acknowledged ones of Greek and Roman heritage, the great Western Enlightenment began with the renaissance and moved through numerous revolutions of political and social power, based on new relationships between thought and nature (human and otherwise).²² The major power shifts can be seen in the secularisation of activities from religious domination. Rousseau 'secularised the problem of evil' in human nature as 'Machiavelli had done for politics and Galileo for science.'²³ Separating, that is, the validity of different domains of life, what Ken Wilber refers to as the big three 'value spheres of arts, morals and science,' from the mythic religious institutions and their control through theology.²⁴

Often known by the title of Thomas Paine's 'The Age Of Reason,' the Western enlightenment's major outcome was in the industrial revolution which has laid the technological grounds for today's globalisation. In what was an age of empires around the globe, the Western Empire rapidly advanced in comparison, Pacey observes, because the West excelled at the codification of knowledge through empiric scientific principles and the systemisation of mass production.²⁵

With this rapid rise in social, political, economic, and military power came strident individualism, political liberalism, the resurgence of democracy, and the advent of free-market capitalism.²⁶

Consistent through each of these developments is an inherited feature of the West's Christian conditioning: the notion of the right to control nature.²⁷ Hindsight shows that these social-cultural developments are the mark of a particular worldview: instrumental-rationality.²⁸ The rational-instrumental worldview spurred on each of these developments and revolutions, nurturing their growth into the very character of Western civilisation, and still holds it up today. The main feature of this worldview throughout this time, as lived by the West, is its increasing reliance on empirical science as the primarily valuable locus of truth. A recent example of this is the accessible chronicles of the successes of this age by Bill Bryson in 'A short History of Nearly Everything.' This book is concerned predominately with Western science, its people and discoveries, with hardly a mention of the artistic or moral dimension of these times.²⁹

Pacey laments that the West ignores its benefactors, and arrogantly lauds its developments destructively over, and in, the third world. Richard Slaughter, Ken Wilber and many others lament that the predominate values the rational enlightenment has bequeathed modernity are highly reductionist in nature and emphasise a 'flatland' picture of reality. That is, one devoid of depth, interiors, and spiritual and transcendent values.³⁰ In the process of acquiring the gains of the rational-instrumental worldview, the West made many enemies, often repressed or abused the very nature that all human life depends upon, and also rejected higher spheres of development.

The dominance of science led to a civil society emboldened by its own achievements and, in respect of the truth of the West's accomplishments, enabled its constituent countries to explore the entire globe beginning in the 1500's.³¹ It wasn't until the 1800's however, that Western civilisation truly expanded to cover the globe.³² Thus beginning the age of global Western Empires.

Philip Curtin shows, in 'The World and the West,' that this expansion was strikingly different from the 'comparatively peaceful' trading Diaspora settlements that date back to at least the 'earliest urban societies of Mesopotamia.'³³ It was one of conquest and colonisation in the name of civility, progress and a god given right over the domain of nature, women and primitive cultures.³⁴

Western Empires undoubtedly brought numerous advancements to far-flung cultures, and the West gained new insights for their troubles as well (as Pacey notes for each encounter he reviews). In this process the geographic, economic/trade and political steps to the globalisation of today were laid. Major changes in cultural and political consciousness also occurred with the advent of a more fully fledged democracy: the abolition of slavery, the 'declaration' of human rights and many significant others. Nearing the end of the Nineteenth Century the imperialist thrust gave way to an exponential prosperity for Western countries and the development of global communications and trade infrastructure.

In the Twentieth Century the horrors of industrial scientific gains, without the balance of equal moral and artistic gains influencing the socio-economic-political spheres, became manifest within Western civilisation. This past century saw the advent of two World Wars punctuated by a Great Depression which was then followed by a protracted Cold War. Industrialisation proceeded apace, with military based technology, strategy and economics becoming the main underwriter of globalisations climb back from the three Great Horrors of modernity. As Francis Fukuyama states so simply in 'The Great Disruption,' 'military competition drives domestic political cooperation.'³⁵ Chalmers Johnson analyses the consequences in the 21st Century in 'The Sorrows of Empire: Militarism, Secrecy, and the End of the Republic,' observing that the United States, the bulkhead of Western civilisation, has 'undergone a transformation from republic to empire that may well prove irreversible...[it] prefers to deal with other nations through the use or threat of force rather than negotiations, commerce, or cultural interaction...A revolution would be required to bring the Pentagon [military establishment] back under democratic control.'³⁶

The effects on other cultures and on nature in general of the technologically unprecedented militarism and unbridled economic driven growth, without balance from the other value spheres, have not been light. To take but one example, the very earth, with its flora and fauna that not only the West but the whole of Humanity depends upon, is being consumed, polluted and driven to extinction. As Edward Wilson sombrely concludes in 'The Future of Life,' the West 'has so far played the role of planetary killer. [We're] in a struggle with the rest of life. The issue, like all great decisions, is moral.'³⁷

The young West has grown fast, travelled abroad, achieved astounding accomplishments, and yet become wounded, and fiercely repressed its foundational nature – in it self and in others. The Princely West knows something is wrong, but isn't sure exactly what – or what to do. The West has the power in almost every domain of human life to achieve anything it sets its will to. Healing itself however, hasn't fully occurred. The project continues as a Princely Knight must go on to be King.

Wounded Existence & Temporary Relief

The Fisher King Myth -- Act 2

Our young prince in time has become King. His wounding, however, remains, and it even affects his subjects. The King languishes wounded in his castle, now the keeper of the Holy Grail. He holds the secret to healing. All his kingdom can drink from the Holy Grail of growth, plenty, and wisdom of experience (transcendence and integration). All that is, but him. The only relief the King finds is in fishing alone.

Psychology

Development is distorted. If persistently followed in the same direction it will only make matters worse. The schism of repression of the lower, from the evolving higher, becomes increasingly untenable. Relief is found in immersion in distraction, in the subconscious, in dreams and fantasies. Others around the King can benefit from his development, but are also aware of the wounded aspects, even if they don't know what to do to help (if they're interested that is, and in emulating the King's character of development, they're not likely to be). The subjects may also reflect the King's wounding, or suffer the consequences of his misguided judgement. The salmon fish of the wounding is now the focus of relief. The focus on the fish also holds a key to what is required for healing and development. The fish reflects Christ consciousness, one of integration, balance and transcendence. The King sees it, but doesn't understand what it means.

Western Civilisation

Enamoured with its own prestige, yet languishing in its unique pain of position, the Kingly West has all the resources needed to heal the kingdom, his very world. Many of the King's subjects are able to benefit. These are the 'willing or not' of the 'developing' and 'third' world. The King however, seems unable to create the solution to his wounding. The West has been unable to fully claim and exercise its own power in a transformative, healing way. The Kingly West instead, persists on the same old track of progress or bust, scientific rational-instrumentalism or nothing, denying the value of the 'primitive' nature, the feminine and its roots. The West increasingly finds relief in entertainment, the global casino of currency fluctuations, euphemistic efforts at global

harmony, and similar sideways progress, rather than genuine development. In the process, other subjects suffer the consequences of the self inflicted wounding.

Due to its role in the Second World War, one country of the West, the United States, attained a unique ascendancy of military and economic power. Jonathon Schell observes that more important than defeating the Nazi imperialism is the reality that 'the politics of mass annihilation, even as they were going down to defeat in Hitler's bunker, were in 1945 transferred to the care of Washington [the United States].'³⁸ In this shadow of accomplishment the Wilsonian ideal was established in the West and still informs United States international relations to this day. Its import is one that claims that it is better to lead and control for the benefit of all if you can see 'others' are less developed. Global development programs were started with the best intent of the West, on the surface, and dubious outcomes for the mainly third world countries in reality. As Chomsky points out with 'Hegemony or Survival,' the power of the West, in comparison to the rest of the world, has led to many abuses of position, hypocrisy through covert activities and coercion, and incompetence with drastic consequences.³⁹

The International Monetary Fund (IMF), The World Bank, first the General Agreement on Trades and Tariffs (GATT) then The World Trade Organisation (WTO), and the United Nations (UN), all established under the auspices of, and driven by, the United States, have continued this theme in both the eyes of 'insiders' and those 'outside.' For example, Joseph Stiglitz, Nobel prize winner for economics and past chief of the World Bank, argues in 'Globalization and Its Discontents' that 'the IMF often seems to confuse means with ends, thereby losing sight of what is ultimately of concern.'⁴⁰ On the WTO Walden Bello observes in 'The Future in the Balance: Essays on Globalization and Resistance' that 'many countries realised that in signing on...they had signed away their right to development.'⁴¹ The Argentinean loan default, the Asian currency crisis, and the flawed transition to capitalism in parts of the former Soviet Union in the 1990s, among other instances, have been argued as examples of the failure of hegemonic institutions beholden to a 'market fundamentalism.' As Stiglitz summarises the situation, 'Decisions were made on the basis of what seemed a curious blend of ideology and bad economics, dogma that sometimes seemed to be thinly veiling special interests...frank discussion was discouraged – there was no room for it.'⁴²

The title of Linda Polman's eye-witness accounts of UN peace keeping in 'We Did Nothing: Why the Truth Doesn't Always Come Out When the UN Goes in,' says it all. Polman's comments on the reality of the UN peacekeeping forces for example, are obvious, but disturbing none the less, 'the bloodier the consequences of the UN principles, the greater the pressure [for governments] to wriggle out of responsibility. By consistently talking about the UN as if it were an independent functioning organisation, the interested parties have succeeded in making the public believe that the UN has a life of its own...[it is] jargon, familiar but meaningless.'⁴³ The vacuity of moral development shown by the globalised West in the face of economic global affairs is unmistakable – wounded indeed. It is so habituated to its 'economic fundamentalism' and scientific 'technological wonderland' that it can't even see what is in its own best interests in the long run.

The success of the West over the Communist resistance to capitalist ideals saw books like Francis Fukuyama's 'The End of History and the Last Man' proclaiming democratic free-market liberalism as the highest socioeconomic-political evolution of human kind. Fukuyama has since had to work hard with books like 'The Great Disruption' to explain the breakdown in social trust, the rise of crime, and many other social ills that are befalling Western countries. These social ills echo the lack of moral and artistic development to keep balance with the scientific developments of an instrumental-rationality. Fukuyama points to the 'information revolution' as the disruptive cause. There is no need for alarm he argues, just another readjustment on the continuing path of scientific and economic progress.

The same impulse to empire that characterised the West's youth is still at play, and is still led by a naïve adherence to unbridled rational instrumentality. Now seen mostly in the United States version of Western civilisation, the efforts at global expansion are derided in most if not all the varied dimensions of globalisation including economic, corporate, law, military, environment, culture and even in the colonisation of space.⁴⁴ The current political power base in the United States is fairly understood through their not-for-profit organisation called the 'Project for a New American Century.' The project is 'dedicated to a few fundamental propositions: that American leadership is good both for America and for the world [and] that such leadership requires military strength.'⁴⁵

This hegemonic belief is driven by a noble intent, and a worldview that sees no viable alternatives. Thomas Friedman's 'The Lexus and the Olive Tree' presents a slightly more open appraisal of this painful position of power from the perspective of the West, and concludes that 'America will use its assets wisely, and I don't think I'm alone in this rational exuberance.'⁴⁶ The name of Friedman's book is an apt corollary to the theme of the King who is grasping for the higher development of the 'Lexus' while needing to integrate the fundamental nature, identity and roots of the 'olive tree.' The consequences are seen throughout the Western world. In the Middle East for example, technology is leading to better ways for the Israeli and Palestinians (to a much lesser degree) to kill one another, not facilitate their needed establishment on their valued land: their olive tree grove and connection with Mother Nature. In Australia, another satellite Western state, the same wounded misjudgement is playing out. First the indigenous inhabitants were decimated through 'true colonisation,' then their surviving kin were destructively 'managed,' and now the indigenous population is a public 'problem.'⁴⁷ This wound still bleeds, and is only growing worse. Many more examples can be found on every continent attractive enough to the Western Empires.

John Pilger writes in 'The New Rulers of the World' that the "global economy" is a modern Orwellian term...beneath this gloss, it is the globalisation of poverty. Those with unprecedented resources to understand this...suppress their knowledge publicly.⁴⁸ For each dimension placed after 'global,' there seems to be someone ready to argue the same injustice and lack of moral fibre by those who can know better. Many, without the same privileges, have taken it further than mere dialogue. Terrorism is the new catch cry for the necessity of Western imperialism in the 21st Century. While the causes are numerous, the same wounding is at play. Philosopher William Irwin Thompson comments in 'Our Contemporary Predicament and Or Present Evolution of Consciousness' that 'terrorism is amateur government...[as the] nation state undergoes an electronic meltdown in which archaic forms surface in new formations.'⁴⁹ Is this Fukuyama's 'Great Disruption' rit large? The repressed, 'othered' of instinctive and 'primitive' societies and sub-cultures are symptoms the Kingly West can't seem to ease. In seeking to explain these symptoms, Ziauddin Sardar and Merryl Wyn Davies in 'Why Do People Hate America' point to the Kingly West's method of relief arguing that 'the power of the American media works to keep American people closed to experience and ideas from the rest of the world and thereby increases the insularity, self-absorption, and ignorance that is the overriding problem the rest of the world has with Americans.'⁵⁰ From another perspective, that of the United States' foreign policy bring driven by militarism, Chalmers warns the West that 'the blowback from the second half of the twentieth century has only just begun.'⁵¹

In 'The Unfinished Twentieth Century' what troubles Jonathon Schell most in the context of blowback is that there are still 'some 30 000 nuclear weapons in the world.'⁵² Schell contends that continued proliferation of nuclear arms, and other weapons of mass destruction, is directly related to American foreign policy. In light of this Schell concludes that the real danger for humanity is if the West's 'response to the growing new threat of annihilation is war, the result will be new acts of annihilation. The world is sick. It cannot be cured with America's new wars.'⁵³ Repeating the same mistake, leads to the same wounding.

The Kingly West has made progress however, within itself and in helping other cultures. The West has gradually been fulfilling the promise of democracy with, for example, the rise of the feminist movement and the move of their avant guard into health post-modernism. Many other countries have also drunk of the West's cup of plenty. Philippe Legrain argues in 'Open World:/ The Truth About Globalisation,' that to reduce poverty and the many other ills that globalisation brings to the awareness of the West, 'economic growth through trade is the only answer.' Legrain points out that it already has in fact proven itself, observing that 'everywhere you look in countries that have opened up to the world [the Western world of free market liberalism] there are signs of hope: poor people scrambling to make a better life...the crucial thing is they are better off than they were before.'⁵⁴ In similar fashion the famed Indian economist Jagdish Bhagwati also argues strongly that economic globalisation is helping to not only alleviate poverty but also improve worker conditions, human rights, gender equality and much more, around the globe.⁵⁵

Indeed, it is just this success in rapid industrialisation and modernisation that the West had prided itself on that is making, for Samuel Huntington, 'The Clash of Civilisations' the main shape of the early 21st Century. For Huntington, it is the economic globalisation of other countries, and their 'youth bulge' in contrast to declining fertility rates in Western countries, that will lead to 'deeply destabilising effects on the Western-dominated established international order.'⁵⁶ No doubt many shortcuts have become available to developing countries because of the Western pioneering effort with the enlightenment project. The West's unhealthy emphasis on rational instrumentality however, is dangerous warns Huntington, 'the Western virus, once it is lodged in another society, is difficult to expunge.'⁵⁷

It is Westernisation that causes the problem, not modernisation per-se. In failing to 'organically' modernise, developing countries risk 'infecting' their cultures, and repeating the wounding of the Kingly West. As Amy Chua shows in 'World on Fire: How Exporting Free-Market Democracy Breeds Ethnic Hatred and Global Instability,' wholesale Western styled modernisation has generally concentrated 'enormous wealth in the hands of an 'outsider' minority.'⁵⁸ These 'market minorities' in developing countries 'produce highly unstable and combustible conditions.' This is especially so when Western 'global populist and democratic movements give strength, legitimacy, and voice to the impoverished, frustrated, excluded masses of the world.'⁵⁹

The wounding of the West is seen clearly in the way its culture seeks relief. According to the Global Policy Forum, global trade is 'approximately \$1.5 trillion per day...\$50 trillion per year (about 17 percent) of foreign exchange trading takes place with futures, options and derivatives to hedge against future exchange rate fluctuations...exchange rate speculation accounts for the remaining transactions, at least 80 percent.'⁶⁰ Welcome to the 'global casino,' where money comes from money, an abstraction on an abstraction, a highly imaginative or subconscious impulse driven affair, where the wounded King gains relief and drives economic globalisation. This is emblematic of what Clive Hamilton calls the West's 'Growth Fetish,' the belief that 'to find happiness they must be richer, regardless of how wealthy they already are.'⁶¹ Not only does the West suffer a 'media amnesia' of history, both ancient and modern, and blindness to its own discovered facts about happiness, health and evolution, but so does the majority of its constituent population. The West's politics, media, and society wide value schema is beholden to this conviction, one that sees people with more wealth and possessions than any human in history still 'needing' more.

A large part of this 'more' is the enormous focus of Westerner's time and resources 'spent' on the entertainment and fashion industries where William Thompson says every 'citizen who is 'morphing' into the loyal subject of the media demands participation in the pageantry.'⁶² The Kingly West's relief through fascination with the fish of transcending 'ordinary' reality through media quasi-celebrity participation has done little to reveal the healing insight it holds, if only in metaphor, where 'the medium is the message.'⁶³ Instead, another Marshall McLuhan claim seems to be the way

the West lives out its obsessive distraction from reality: sadly 'every media extension of man is an amputation.'⁶⁴ Thompson concludes that 'fast-fame takeouts litter the information superhighway strips of the new electronic America,' and argues that 'now perhaps we can appreciate the [terrorist] cults. Paranoia is one reaction to living in an informationally supersaturated solution in which the media never tell the truth and never really discuss the cultural options at stake.'⁶⁵

In symbiotic opposition to the Kingly West's self inflicted wounding by the 'flatland' of rational instrumentality, is the relief gained through a 'fantasia' of hyper-consumerism, celebrity based credibility, imaginative immersion and media amnesia.⁶⁶ The King is wounded indeed, and pushing on with the same old plan, while it has benefited some of the worldly subjects, is now starting to hurt more for both. A Healing is required, if there is anyone with the insight to help. But only the desperate or the foolish would challenge the King, right?

The Fool & the Healing

The Fisher King Myth -- Act 3

Enter the Fool. The young Fool is a Knight brought up as a commoner by his widowed mother. A revealing fight leads him to travel to claim recognition of his birthright from the King. Entering the castle, and being disappointed at the sight of the wounded King, he travels on to develop his Knightly skills alone. All the while the Fool remains cloaked in his mother's home spun tunic. The Fool, in middle age, has claimed his Knighthood through experience. Weary of a Knight's tasks, he once again searches for the King's guidance and recognition. He enters the castle, and this time, seeing the Holy Grail serve health to all but the King asks him 'whom does the Grail serve?'

Psychology

While the fool is too young, and has yet come into his own personal power, wisdom and need for transcendence, he can recognise the paucity of the wounded King. The young fool is, in contrast to the King, overly embedded in nature and instinct. The Fool instinctually has the questions to ask the King, if only he believes he'll be heard. Sometimes, the Fool will ask the questions the first time he sees the King, however, generally it's done too quietly and leaves little impact. The Fool moves on to learn alone through experience, without guidance. The Fool has less pride and expectation about how to be a true Knight. Thus, in contrast to the expectant King when he was a young Knight, the Fool comes into his own power while honouring those who enable him to have it.

The Fool tired of the lonely quest in middle age, and upon next seeing the King, having not lost his connection to nature and instinct, immediately asks the obvious question.

Western Civilisation

One of the virtues of Western civilisation is the recorded history of heretics and critics of the powers that be in any age. As the West has matured, its ability to provide for 'freedom of speech' has enabled these questioners to flourish, relative to its past habits of gruesome death penalties. Having their space to speak however, doesn't always mean they are heard. Perhaps, like the young Fool, they were too softly spoken, or were a solitary voice against the din of the Kings progress. As

the King has aged however, so has the Fool. Tiring of a life and kingdom without more transcendence, the obvious place to go is to see the King, the ruler of the Fool's world.

Richard Slaughter, who Wendell Bell the author of 'The Foundations of Future Studies' declares 'is the world's leading futurist,'⁶⁷ observes that while the King's wounded persistence with instrumental rationality 'seems unquestioned, unstoppable, hegemonic...[it] was never fully convincing. From the earliest days there have been protests, counter-currents, critiques and traditions that held out other possibilities, the seeds of quite different futures.'⁶⁸

Even in the genesis of the 'Age of Reason,' one of its fathers Niccolo Machiavelli, John Ralston Saul points out in 'Voltaire's Bastards: The Dictatorship of Reason in the West,' was recognised as coming from 'the dark side.'⁶⁹ Saul notes that the 'humanists of the renaissance attacked him violently' and quotes Diderot, a contemporary, who describes his efforts as 'a detestable political system which can be summed up in two words – the art of tyranny.'⁷⁰

Most of the voices of dissent and alternatives are written out of easily accessible records of history, as the now common adage explains, 'the winner writes the history.' In the full blown industrial revolution Karl Marx is remembered most. Yet his criticisms stayed within the realms of the economic system, and while insightful amounts to little more than a debate interna to rational instrumentality. To be fair it was a debate pitting differences in value dispositions. In a world where values were never invested in dialogue to the extent the assumptive empirical science was however (and still is to a large extent), despite the rhetoric and ideals, the reality of 'might equals right' held the day.

Yet the voices grew more populous and louder in the twentieth Century. As Slaughter reminds us the famous novelist, journalist and historian H. G. Wells's critical 'A Modern Utopia' became, at the end of his life, 'Mind at the End of its Tether.'⁷¹ Fast-forward to the turn of the 21st Century and 'George Soros on Globalisation.'⁷² Soros is acknowledged as one of the richest players of the 'global casino.' Yet even the King of Kings knows the wounding, and, levelling criticisms at 'market-fundamentalism,' is warning that the West can't afford to ignore 'globalisation's discontents' and their message any longer.

The Western cultural-revolution of the nineteen sixties was, of sorts, just that. Minor and less violent in comparison to history, its opening to the contextualisation of knowledge and post-modernism, the growing of equality of women in Western societies, and a push for alternative values, amongst other focuses, hasn't, unfortunately, gone far. It did, however, till the soil for an increasing number of social justice movements at the turn of the 21st Century. Nomi Klein collects the eclectic critique of globalisation, effected by the Kingly West's wounding, in 'No Logo' and 'Fences and Windows: Dispatches from the Front Lines of the Globalization Debate.' Klein argues that Western 'globalisation is now on trial because on the other side of these virtual fences are real people, shut out of schools, hospitals, workplaces, their own farms, homes and communities...locked-out people, whose services are no longer needed, and whose lifestyles are written off as 'backward.'⁷³ The King can't repress the 'nature' of his subjects without there being some 'blowback.'

Many others have deconstructed and exposed how the wounding of the Kingly West is playing out. A multitude of robust and detailed critiques abound. Saul reminds us in lengthy toms like 'The Unconscious Civilisation' and 'Voltaire's Bastards' that the West has become 'addicted to a particular set of illusions in order to avoid coming to terms with its own reality.'⁷⁴ Jose Ramos reminds us in 'From Critique to Cultural Recovery: Critical Futures Studies and Causal Layered Analysis' that the field of Critical Futures Studies was pioneered by Slaughter in response to the hegemony of the King's wounding. Quoting Slaughter, Ramos highlights that 'Western people

have, on the whole, become alienated from the Earth which supports them, to the waste and destruction associated with the expansion of the industrial system and to alternative values, ideas and ideologies...[the critical approach] challenges prevailing notions of 'progress,' and rescues the debate from ethnocentricity and technological determinism.⁷⁵

It is becoming clearer, book by book, community organisation after international non-profit organisation, and novel activist action after political protest that the population of the West is waking up like the Fool, and growing tired of the wounding. The Foolish are rising from their embeddings in nature and seeking a healthy integration with the Kingly West's progress, an integration of the scientific with the moral and artistic spheres of value. All importantly, they are asking the crucial questions of the King, and even proposing viable alternatives to the West's current growth strategies.

John Cavanagh and others from the International Forum on Globalisation, in 'Alternatives to Economic Globalization,' point out that there are real alternatives which can heal the West, and serve the health and continued growth of the world.⁷⁶ Numerous other pathways are also presented with, for example, Paul Hawken et al. proposing in 'Natural Capitalism: Creating the Next Industrial Revolution' that integrations without major losses for the West, without that is the King losing his throne and the Holy Grail, are indeed possible.⁷⁷

Indigenous cultures, the 'primitive Fool' in colonial Western eyes, are also gaining some measure of voice. In Australia for example, one initiative at integrating the indigenous wisdom of the Aborigines with the gains of Western enlightenment is the 'Bush University' where youth from both cultural civilisations are schooled in each other's societies and guided to healthy integrations along the pathway to further transcendence and growth.⁷⁸ Such efforts appreciate the predicament of both the wounded King, and the nature embedded Fool. Their weaknesses are overcome through their integration of strengths.

Yet, as Peter Singer argues in 'One World: The Ethics of Globalization,' 'there is one great obstacle to further progress in this direction. It has to be said, in cool but plain language, that in recent years the international effort to build a global community has been hampered by the repeated failure of the United States to play its part.'⁷⁹ As Michael Mazarr concludes in 'Global Trends 2005: An Owner's Manual for the Next Decade' 'fate has provided us with the raw material of a new renaissance in human society, but it is up to us to make that renaissance a reality.'⁸⁰

To enable this responsibility taking, many who contemplate globalisation deeply point to evolving a universal worldview, a global consciousness, as the crucial imperative. Without it, writes Ervin Lazlo in 'Planetary Consciousness: Our next Evolutionary Step' 'entering the 21st Century with the consciousness that hallmarked the 20th century would be like entering the modern age with the consciousness of the Middle Ages. It would not only be inappropriate, but dangerous.'⁸¹

The alternatives, including integrations and the disposition of responsibility in relationship to the Holy Grail of Western progress, are being taken up. They are also available to all in the West who want healing. One name for many of these approaches is 'integral,' because they openly integrate each of the value spheres separated in the age of reason along with the fundamental wisdom of nature and the significant wisdom of transcendence and continuing evolution.⁸²

With the emergence of Integral studies the West has a means for answering the question of responsibility. The questioning Fools have always been present. But now in middle age and having come into their power, and with the King getting older and still playing out the same wounding, the Fool is even more instinctually sure of needing to help the Kingly West by pointing to the inherent responsibility for healing. Only time will tell however, if the King and his court heed the message

and use the Holy Grail of the gains of the Western enlightenment and its gift of the 'information age,' to heal and whole himself and his world on the way to a viable, sustainable globalisation.

Envisaging Humanity's Future

The fifth challenge of globalisation: creating engaging and relevant forward views.

The remainder of the Fisher King myth plays out positively. Yet in this paper's re-interpretation, it represents only one alternative ending, an alternative future history for humanity at the dawn of the 21st Century. At least one other possibility, an 'opposite' ending, is also suggested by the myth.

A Positive Future History

The Fisher King Myth -- Act 4 -- Alternative #1

The King realises it is himself! If he claims the right and responsibility, and makes the effort to drink of the Holy Grail, it shall serve him. He does and is healed. He dies three days latter, a successful and honoured King who will be remembered for the achievement of his healing quest.

Psychology

The Fool reminds the King of his responsibility, of the need for him to make the effort to connect with balance and transcendence in the form of the Holy Grail. Once the King does claim his power and humbly accepts the help of the Holy Grail, his life is complete. A healthy and happy life and heritage can then be shared with his peers and succeeding generation.

Western Civilisation

There are enough Fools who have come into their own power, and are instinctively asking the questions, for each King in the West. This future history sees the West either make gradual or dramatic, changes in international relations and domestic policies that affect the whole of humanity. Although not without war, diseases, other calamities, reversals, and pauses along the way, the West provides the leadership that integrates their own relationship with nature (and the three value spheres of arts, morals and science) in a healthy way. This integration is reflected outwardly in their relationship with other countries and cultures. Humanity is, through new forms of consensus and leadership, able to avert many of the potential disasters looming in the West's hard won progress, start corrective action for much of the damage done (namely in order to prevent more), and generally increase the quality of life for humanity as a whole. The West has to make many sacrifices to do so, and is no longer the dominate power in global affairs, but the benefits as the 21st Century plays out are well worth it. A truly sustainable globalisation is achieved.

A Negative Future History

The Fisher King Myth -- Act 4 -- Alternative #2

The King fails to hear or heed the question of the Fool, and continues lamenting his wounding. The Fool, dismissed, leaves the King's immediate realm and goes on to seek transcendence alone. Living without the guidance of the King, the Fool remains mired in nature. Without healing the King's wound grows worse, and eventually kills him, bringing the destruction of the court and the very Kingdom.

Psychology

Ignored or rejected by the King, the Fool sees no recourse but to be as far away from the King's influence as possible. Without the guidance of the King's experience in transcendence however, the Fool is unable to differentiate himself from his basic nature. The Fool, if he can't find a place without relief from the King, a place to become his own King even, he will undoubtedly attack the King with the anger of the repressed. The King, unwilling or unable to heal himself because of the humility of sacrifice involved, finds his wounding all consuming and insufferable. The kingdom, his life, collapses into disarray, and the King could become suicidal.

Western Civilisation

Despite the instinct of the Fool, the Kingly West ignores his questions and continues on. Gradual changes do occur, but not fast enough. The wounding of the Kingly West has become so critical that without healing he may fatally disrupt the international order of development. This future history sees the Kingly West fail to make sufficient changes before its societies start to crumble from the inside out. Concurrently, due to the continued perception, if not reality, of imperialistic activities by Western countries, particularly the United States, the enraged Fools of less developed countries (and its own internal 'minorities') increasingly, violently, attack. The wounded West can't see how to adequately bring healing and defeat, or at least to calm, the Fool. In persisting with an instrumental rationality led imperialist drive, the West either inadvertently or suicidally brings about the destruction of human society as it is currently known, sacrificing much of a millennia of 'expensive' progress.

The Risk of Humanicide

The sixth challenge of globalisation: understanding the implications of the analysis and the forward views.

The current situation of globalisation can be seen in light of both of the possible alternative future histories outlined. It is the possibility of the negative alternative however, that holds the risk profile for humanity in the 21st Century.

While the Kingly West's lack of heeding the Fool's question has been the problem for hundreds of years, the possible consequences now are radically different. If the King fails to heed the Fool and

integration is not achieved, further development may become wholly untenable. The wounding could prove fatal. Writ large in a heavily interconnected world that is delicately poised on numerous critical systems, the collapse of this empire could easily bring about the near extinction of humanity. This could be the century of Humanicide: the annihilation of the majority of humanity.

Within integral theory, it is acknowledged that there are two forces acting on setting integral priorities. The first is that of 'fundamentals' – the things that affect the whole: the basic building blocks and the influences thereof. Second is that of 'significance' – the highest quality features that the whole serves to support. If the significant features are lost, the whole continues on with the possibility of 'regrowth.' If the fundamentals are lost, the whole is destroyed.

Each of the problems that various Fools have brought to the attention of the King can generally be seen as either fundamental or significant. They have each, in one way or another, been labelled as a predicament of globalisation. Most of the predicaments mentioned in the globalisation debate fall into the category of significant: it would be good if incomes were relatively balanced, for example, or if there was a fairer global system of governance, justice and democratic participation. The key features mentioned in the mythic interpretation above however, are ones encroaching on being fundamental in nature. Many significant features were also mentioned, and there is room for the inclusion of many more. It is the fundamental features however, that vie to qualify as the real predicament of globalisation in the 21st Century. These could lead to Humanicide. The other predicaments are a matter of quality, and while significant, they are inherently open to debate.

Setting the Global Agenda

The seventh challenge of globalisation: determining the real priorities for humanity in the face of globalisation.

The fundamental predicaments, if subjected to a threshold or tipping point analysis, would sort the contenders down to a ranked list of 'most likely to occur.' The predicaments on such a priority list, if not attended to swiftly, could cause Humanicide. Without averting these, there is no possibility of debating the merits of the other 'quality' category of 'significant' predicaments.

The contenders are the likes of nuclear holocaust, dramatic increases in global warming, a bio-tech experiment gone wrong in the food chain or in humans, a nanotechnology device that wipes out human food supply or even unstoppably attacks human lives, or a toxic spill of unprecedented proportions that wipes out sufficient marine life to irrevocably destroy humanity's food chain. There are many similar possibilities, but what they all have in common is three things:

1. They could all significantly lead, quite swiftly, to the eradication of the majority of humanity: Humanicide;
2. They are all the responsibility of humanity – they will, or won't, occur depending on what humanity does; and,
3. They are each increasingly more likely as the 'wounding' of the Kingly West continues to become more critically pronounced, and the instinctive Fool becomes increasingly enraged.

These fundamental predicaments then, define the edges of Humanicide that are the fundamental global predicament in the early 21st Century.

It is the causal influences upon these types of fundamental predicaments that need to be attended to. This is the global priority. Armed with the insight of needing to combine instinctive nature and the three value spheres into a higher-order integration, a rigours list of possible Humanicide causes needs to be identified. Then, in each dimension of human activity, the activities contributing to them need to be 'healed.' Only then can humanity move on to the more significant predicaments of globalisation. Move on that is, to improving the quality of human existence in the 21st Century, free from the probability of Humanicide.*

*The only myth that's going to be worth thinking about in the immediate future
is one talking about the planet...and everybody on it.*

Joseph Campbell

****The eighth challenge of globalisation: acting on the priorities
effectively and in a timely manner...***

Notes

¹ Sheil, C. (2001) p 6.

² Wilber (2000) pp 159-163.

³ Wilber (2000) pp 167-8. For a definition of cross-paradigmatic see Commons et al (1998); for the relationship of cognitive abilities to a global perspective, or a global holism see Wilber (2000a) p44. A brief introduction to cognitive hierarchical complexity thinking can be found online at: <http://gseacademic.harvard.edu/~hcs/base/HC.shtml>

⁴ Wilber (2000) p 206 and p208. See entries for Kohlberg's 'moral judgement,' Gilligan's 'female hierarchy of moral stages' and Wilber's 'moral span.'

⁵ There is a very real risk that because a Westerner is using a Western myth to analyse Western dominated activities, that typical Western biases may usurp any certainty that the analysis is sound and applicable across numerous cultural perspectives and contexts. While an attempt is made to keep the analysis openly critical, the very nature of a civilisationally relevant myth being used as its analysis is that any blind spots could very well remain blind to both the author and Western readers. This is, unfortunately unavoidable in this format. Any insights readers from different cultural backgrounds may have are invited to be sent to the author for any future revisions of this paper.

⁶ Appropriately (fortunately?), for this statement of Humanicide being a relatively new phenomena, the term has little recorded use and in that, contested meanings. The meaning in this paper is one taken from a review of definitions of mass murder, in a transcend and inclusion fashion: homicide (one or more people), genocide (a race of people), democide (a politically, often state sponsored murder, of a group of people), humanicide (murdering the majority of the human species), and omnicide (the death of the majority of all earthly sentient species). For an exterior reference to this usage see Heater (1996).

⁷ For an application of Integral theory to the field of psychology see Wilber (2000). To contain the amount of integral theory employed in this paper, and to make it easier for the reader to research it, only references from one of over a dozen of Wilber's books will be referenced.

⁸ The details of the integral theory referents will not be fully explained in this paper. Those wishing to further investigate the validity of these ingredients of the integral stance presented here are advised to first consult Wilber (2000), then Wilber (1999) and finally, especially for the principles of practice and eight indigenous perspectives, Wilber (2003).

⁹ For a selection of the works reviewed, see the references list.

¹⁰ Healthy in this regard means healthy maintenance of sociological and psychological systems, and possibly the evolution there of. Unhealthy would mean pathological usage, either by, or causing, according to psychological literature. For more insight, see Wilber (2000) pp 91-98.

¹¹ Campbell (1991) p 31. The four main functions of myth which achieve this 'power' according to Campbell are explained as: the mystical, which points to human experiences of mystery and awe through a 'holy picture' of existence; the cosmological, which provides explanations for the nature and workings of life; the sociological, which informs of the reasons for norms of a 'certain social order'; and, the pedagogical, which provides guidance on how to live our lives.

¹² Inayatullah (2000). For Inayatullah, building on the work of others, there are four concurrent layers of futures enquiry, and sociological enquiry generally, that can be used in a combined critical analysis of any issue: the litany of fragmented experiences and perspectives; a focus on social problems and solutions; an understanding of the discourse/worldviews involved; and, their underpinning and guiding myths/metaphors.

¹³ Levi-Strauss (1963) pp 206-230. Levi-Strauss presents three core structural features that explain their mostly universal appeal throughout time. Firstly, myths have certain structural relations, in the form of 'binary opposites,' that are a consistent experience across cultures and time – or, inherent to the human experience. Secondly, because myths are often told repetitively, and change with each telling, they are layered or 'slated' with each different contextual interpretation and 'grow spiralwise' – they evolve through time. Thirdly, there is a logic, an internal consistency, to myths that is just as rigorous as that of empirical science. While the two forms or paradigms of knowledge are distinct, neither is better per se, they each disclose different aspects and thus different interpretations of the same lived experience. At their core both modes of knowing share a similar structure – that of human logic.

¹⁴ Dimitrov (1994). According to the Dimitrov's the integration of irrational, or commonly feelings or foreign information and thinking, myths become 'a rational tool for analysing and understanding the complexity of real life situations.'

¹⁵ Before that however, for those more familiar with integral theory, some further clarification of the use of myths may be required. For those not familiar with integral theory, feel free to skip the next section and get into the fun part, a mythological analysis of globalisation.

Integral theory may bring up at least three points of contention in relationship to the use of myths in the context of globalisation. Firstly, aren't myths predominately from a pre-rational era of development? Isn't there some confusion here in using myths to address rational, and possibly post-rational integrative, tasks of a worldcentric or universal worldview level that falls foul of the pre/trans fallacy? (For an explanation of the pre/trans fallacy in application, see Wilber (2000) p 244 n17. In essence, the pre/trans fallacy points out that in many instances because something is pre-x or post-x, by virtue of being non-x (most commonly rationality) they are treated as the same, whereas they are from fundamentally different categories, or levels of the reality of 'x' seen in a developmental spectrum).

Well certainly, if the myth were equated with the transpersonal, trans-rational dimensions of being, and the subtle domain proper (for a discussion on Campbell, Jung, and the pre/trans fallacy, see Wilber (2000) p 248 n27). The usage here however is one of an integrative task of including myth, while transcending it through an upwards translation into the rational thinking mind's relationship with the gross body realm. The upward translation does not fully capture the detail of the next level, however it does perform a healing integration (the logical prescription of which will be unfolded in the telling of the myth below). Further, because it is necessarily included, it does form a fundamental basis for the rational level and subsequently into post-rational thought, at least as far as it is associated with the gross waking realm. Beyond that, things get a little more slippery.

Suffice to say, for arguments sake, the same fallacy of pre/trans confusion is also a site of possible insight, in that the essential features of pre-rational myths or archetypes can be seen reflected in post-rational ones. Here, with careful consideration, access to Campbell's 'holy picture' of the cosmos can be brought forth in an integral-post-metaphysics, through careful interpretations, or successive integrative level appropriate translations (for more on an integral post-metaphysics see Wilber, 2003). There is a theme running through these developmental stages, and it holds much within the psyche of humans and our cultural forms and meanings of humanity collectively (for a treatment of the value of the pre/trans fallacy in creating futures knowledge of the post-rational, post-formal, and subtle realms, see Stewart, 2003).

Secondly, isn't using myth quite un-integral, aren't many levels and perspectives inherently left out by a pre-rational methodology? No, it is not necessarily so. The above justifications of myth cover the 'big three' perspectives of 'I', 'we', and 'it,' and, in terms of the eight indigenous perspectives, cover zones '#1' (the inside-interiors), '#2' (the outside-interiors) and '#4' (the outside-exterior). For more on the 'eight indigenous perspectives' and 'four zones' see Wilber (2003). Thus justifications for the value of myth to meet the task set, if the corrective function mentioned above is kept in mind, means the approach receives validity support from numerous perspectives. One, the inside of individual interiors, or 'I,' from the fuzzy logic integration. Two, the outside of collective exteriors, or 'its,' from the functions. Three, the inside of the collective interiors, or 'we,' from the contextual, layered, interpretations. And four, from the outside of the collective interiors, also 'we,' from the structural anthropology of myth. Integral theory may have only recently caught up with, and explained, the many rich facets of life, but the basic perspectives have been with sentient life from the start.

Thirdly, isn't a worldcentric level worldview required? Yes, however one of the first things to notice when adopting this stance is that most others aren't. The majority of people writing on, talking about, or affected by globalisation (well, that's pretty much the majority of humanity) aren't actually at worldcentric. Most of humanity isn't even at a stable rational level yet (see Wilber 2003). Most are either struggling to: evolve into the mythical early rational level; carry the weight of a pathological mythical level of understanding (eg fundamentalism where myths are taken as literally true); or, are in need of a healthy integration of the mythical level into their higher orders of development – global consciousness (as will be shown later). Even if healthy at the worldcentric, the myth is integrated, if transcended, and thus still of explanatory relevance. This then puts pressure on the worldcentric, or properly integral stance of a universal worldview, to embrace this reality and meet people where they are in their lived experience. Allowing for technical distortions that can only be seen from, and become relevant at, higher levels of development will have little impact on the usefulness of this approach. Now, to return to the focus of this essay, a myth that can help us all to see the priorities of globalisation...

¹⁶ The Fisher King myth is part of the Holy Grail myths associated most popularly with King Arthur's court, and may easily be analysed according to Campbell's archetype hero's journey. It has been cast into operas, stage plays, poems, Hollywood movies and many other forms.

¹⁷ Johnson (1993).

¹⁸ One major weakness of this myth is that it suits a predominately masculine plot. A feminine companion is presented in Robert Johnson's psychological interpretation of this myth, called 'The Handless Maiden.' While it too might offer many insights into our experience of globalisation, it is not as well suited. This is because the majority of globalisation's activity, and targets for ameliorating action, is dominated by masculine efforts. Masculine here does not

necessarily refer to male and female, but rather the principle of masculine energy as understood within psychological typologies of gender.

There is a crucial third reason: it makes sense to this author at least. But isn't that an unduly personal and subjective stance? Possibly, however two issues of subjectivity need to be aired. Firstly, a book about the myth happened to cross my desk while doing research into globalisation, unbidden. In my confusion amongst the bewildering array of perspectives, pausing to read it was transformational. It provided, with very little reflection, voice for an intuitive insight that was waiting to be expressed. Secondly, the myth, like any other, being interpreted to a different context, is going to be done so with unavoidable subjective bias. It fits for the author of this paper, but differing interpretations may see it ill suited according to other perspectives. This limitation seems inherent in providing an interpretation, the only recourse is at the least to admit this and remain mindful of its potential influence.

¹⁹ Johnson (1993) p 12.

²⁰ Bronowski (1975) p 19.

²¹ Pacey (1990).

²² Bronowski (1975).

²³ Bronowski (1975) p 285.

²⁴ Wilber (2000) p 60.

²⁵ Pacey (1990). It was the age of empires, Fernand Braudel reminds us in 'Civilisation & Capitalism: The Perspective of the World.' Along side the growing Western civilisation was the 'Mogul Empire in India, the Chinese and Persian Empires, the Ottoman Empire, and the Empires of the Tsars in Muscovy.' (Braudel (1985) p 54.)

²⁶ Bronowski (1975).

²⁷ For more on this theme, see Wilber (1996) in *Sex Ecology & Spirituality*, Shambhala publishers, Boulder USA.

²⁸ Slaughter (1999) pp 50-51, p341.

²⁹ Bryson (2003).

³⁰ Slaughter (1999) pp 341-2.

³¹ Curtin (2002) p 3.

³² Cutin (2002) p 1.

³³ Curtin (2002) p 3.

³⁴ There was 'true colonisation' by sheer numbers of inhabitants in what are now Canada, the United States and Australia. A smaller, but no less aggressive, British 'territorial empire' was established in countries like India and Nigeria. And, in many instances the creation of 'plural societies' through minor settlings occurred in countries like 'South Africa, Algeria, some South American countries, such as Peru or Guatemala, and many parts of the former Soviet Union.' Colonisation, control and subjugation of the primitive societies encountered, was undoubtedly the order of the era of the rise of Western Empires (Curtin (2002) p 1.)

³⁵ Fukuyama (1999) p 175.

³⁶ Johnson (2004) p 12.

³⁷ Wilson (2002) p 102, p 43, p 130.

³⁸ Schell (2001) p 20.

³⁹ Chomsky (2003).

⁴⁰ Stiglitz (2003).

⁴¹ Bello (2001) p xiv.

⁴² Stiglitz (2003).

⁴³ Polman (2003) p 8.

⁴⁴ On the new 'space race,' the current United States 'National Security Space Architects' vision of 'pioneering America's space pre-eminence,' is seeking, in unveiled practicalities, to contribute to 'full spectrum dominance' by the United States 'defence' forces. (Chomsky (2003) pp 229-30, and NSSA website: <http://www.acq.osd.mil/nssa/>)

⁴⁵ Organisation's website, see: www.newamericancentury.org

⁴⁶ Friedman (2000) p 378.

- ⁴⁷ Bell (1998).
- ⁴⁸ Pilger (2002) pp 2-3.
- ⁴⁹ Thompson (1996) ch 1.
- ⁵⁰ Sardar (2003).
- ⁵¹ Chalmers (2004) p 9. The term 'blowback' was coined in this context by Chalmers in his book (2000) *Blowback: The Costs and Consequences of American Empire*, Metropolitan Books, New York, USA.
- ⁵² Schell (2001) p xi.
- ⁵³ Schell (2001) p 105.
- ⁵⁴ Legrain (2002) p 52, p 49.
- ⁵⁵ Bhagwati (2004).
- ⁵⁶ Huntington (1996) p 121.
- ⁵⁷ Huntington (1996) p 154.
- ⁵⁸ Chua (2003) p 9.
- ⁵⁹ Chua (2003) p 7.
- ⁶⁰ Hayward (2000) p 3, and from the Global Policy Forum's website page on Currency Transaction Taxes, accessed March 2004: <http://www.globalpolicy.org/socecon/lotax/currtax/#analysis>
- ⁶¹ Hamilton (2003) p xvi.
- ⁶² Thompson (1996) ch 1.
- ⁶³ Thompson (1996) ch 1., quoting Marshall McLuhan (1965) *Understanding Media: The Extensions of Man*, McGraw Hill, New York, USA, p 45.
- ⁶⁴ Thompson (1996) ch 1., quoting Marshall McLuhan, unknown source.
- ⁶⁵ Thompson (1996) ch 1.
- ⁶⁶ I am indebted to a personal communication with Rommel De Leon for this wording of 'fantasia,' November 2003.
- ⁶⁷ From the cover of Slaughter's (2004) *Futures Beyond Dystopia: Creating Social Foresight*, Routledge Falmer, nglnd.
- ⁶⁸ Slaughter (1999) p 341.
- ⁶⁹ Saul (1992) p 42.
- ⁷⁰ Saul (1992) p 42, quoting Diderot, *L'Encyclopedie*, vol.2, p 200.
- ⁷¹ Slaughter (1999) p 342. For more of Well's works see an online archive at <http://www.online-literature.com/wellshg/>
- ⁷² Soros (2002).
- ⁷³ Klein (2002) p xxi.
- ⁷⁴ Saul (1993) p 13.
- ⁷⁵ Ramos (2003) p 15, quoting Richard Slaughter (1982) *Critical Futures Studies and Curriculum Renewal*, PhD dissertation, University of Lancaster, England.
- ⁷⁶ Cavanagh (2002)
- ⁷⁷ Hawken (1999).
- ⁷⁸ Bell (1998).
- ⁷⁹ Singer (2002).
- ⁸⁰ Mazarr (1999).
- ⁸¹ Lazlo (1997).
- ⁸² For an example of this integral approach in the field of psychology see Wilber (2000).

References

- Bell, H. R. (1998) Men's Business, Women's Business: The Spiritual Role of Gender in the World's Oldest Culture, Inner Traditions, Rochester, USA.
- Bhagwati, J. N. (2004) In Defense of Globalization, Oxford University Press, New York, USA.
- Braudel, F. (1984) Civilisation & Capitalism, 15th-18th Century: The Perspective of the World, English Translation by Reynolds, S., HarperCollins, England.
- Bronowski, J., & Mazlish, B. (1975) The Western Intellectual Tradition: From Leonardo to Hegel, Harper & Row Publishers, New York, USA.
- Bryson, B. (1993) A Short History of Nearly Everything, Random House, Sydney, Australia.
- Campbell, J. & Moyers, B. (1991) The Power Of Myth, Anchor Books, New York.
- Cavanagh, J. et al. (2002) Alternatives to Economic Globalization, Berrett-Koehler Publishers, San Francisco, USA.
- Chalmers, J. (2004) The Sorrows of Empire : Militarism, Secrecy, and the End of the Republic, Metropolitan Books, USA.
- Chomsky, N. (2003) Hegemony or Survival: America's Quest for Global Dominance (The American Empire Project), Metropolitan Books, New York, USA. Accessed March 2004, more information online at: <http://www.americanempireproject.com/index.htm>
- Commons, M. L., Trudeau, E. J., Stein, S. A., Richards, S. A., & Krause, S. R. (1998). Hierarchical complexity of tasks shows the existence of developmental stages, *Developmental Review*, vol. 18., pp 237-278.
- Curtin, P. D. (2002) The World and the West: The European Challenge and the Overseas Response in the Age of Empire, Cambridge University Press, USA.
- Dimitrov, V. Dimitrov, J. (1994) Fuzzy logic: a key to shared wisdom, Seized by Agreement, Swamped by Understanding, Fell, L., Russell, D., & Stewart, A., Ed., Hawkesbury Printing, University of Western Sydney, Australia. Accessed March 2004, available online at: <http://www.pnc.com.au/~lfell/fuzlog.html>
- Friedman, T. (2000) The Lexus and the Olive Tree, HarperCollins, London, England.
- Hamilton, C. (2003) Growth Fetish, Allen & Unwin, Australia.
- Hawken, P., Lovins, A., & Hunter-Lovins, L. (1999) Natural Capitalism: Creating the Next Industrial Revolution, A Little Brown Book, England.
- Hayward, H. (2000) Costing the Casino: The Real Impact of Currency Speculation in the 1990s, War on Want, accessed March 2004, available online at: <http://www.globalpolicy.org/soecon/lotax/currtax/2002/03costing.pdf>

- Heater, D. (1996) *World Citizenship and Government: Cosmopolitan Ideas in the History of Western Political Thought*, St. Martin's Press, New York, USA.
- Inayatullah, S. (2000) *Causal layered analysis: post-structuralism as method*, *The Knowledge Base of Futures Studies*, vol. 2, Millennium Edition, Slaughter, R. A., Ed., Futures Studies Centre, Melbourne, Australia. Accessed March 2004, available online at: <http://www.metafuture.org/Articles/CausalLayeredAnalysis.htm>
- Johnson, C. (2004) *The Sorrows of Empire: Militarism, Secrecy and the End of the Republic*, Verso Books, London, England.
- Johnson, R. A. (1993) *The Fisher King Myth and the Handless Maiden: Understanding the Wounded Feeling Function in Masculine and Feminine Psychology*, HarperCollins, New York, USA.
- Kegan, R. (2002) *In Over our Heads: The Mental Demands of Modern life*, Harvard University Press, England.
- Klein, N. (2002) *Fences and Windows: Dispatches from the Front Lines of the Globalization Debate*, Flamingo, England.
- Laszlo, E (1997) *Planetary consciousness: our next evolutionary step*, *Cybernetics & Human Knowing: A Journal Of Second Order Cybernetics & Cyber-Semiotics*, vol. 4., no. 4. Available online at <http://www.imprint.co.uk/C&HK/vol4/v4-4laszlo.htm>
- Legrain, P. (2002) *Open World:/ The Truth About Globalisation*, Abacus, England.
- Levi-Strauss, C (1963) *Structural Anthropology*, Basic Books, USA.
- Mazarr, M. J. (1999) *Global Trends 2005: An Owner's Manual for the Next Decade*, St. Martin's Press, New York, USA.
- Pacey, A. (1990) *Technology in World Civilization: A Thousand-Year History*, MIT Press, USA.
- Pilger, J. (2002) *The New Rulers of the World*, Verso Books, London, England.
- Sardar, Z., Davies, M. W. (2003) *Why Do People Hate America? The Disinformation Company*, England.
- Schell, J. (2001) *The Unfinished Twentieth Century: The Crisis of Weapons of Mass Destruction*, Verso Books, London, England.
- Singer, P. (2002) *One World: The Ethics of Globalization*, Text Publishing, Australia.
- Soros, G. (2002) *George Soros on Globalization*, Public Affairs, New York, USA.
- Stewart, C. C. (2003) *Reinstating Value in Pre-Modern Futures Techniques*, available online at: <http://207.44.196.94/~wilber/pdf/stewart3.pdf>
- Stiglitz, J. E. (2003) *Globalization and Its Discontents*, W.W. Norton & Company, USA.

Thompson, W. I. (1996) *Coming into Being*, St. Martin's Press, New York, USA.

Walden, F. B. (2001) *The Future in the Balance: Essays on Globalization and Resistance*, Food First Books, Oakland, USA.

Wilber, K. (1999) *Sex, ecology and spirituality: the spirit of evolution*, second revised edition, *Collected Works of Ken Wilber*, vol. 6., Shambhala, Boston, USA.

Wilber, K. (2000) *Integral Psychology: Consciousness, Spirit, Psychology, Therapy*, Shambhala, Boston, USA.

Wilber, K. (2003) Excerpts A-D, *The Kosmos Trilogy*, unpublished manuscript, accessed March 2004, available online at: <http://wilber.shambhala.com/html/books/kosmos/index.cfm/>

Wilson, E. O. (2002) *The Future of Life*, A Little Brown Book, England.